



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/816,450	03/31/2004	Anthony L. Chun	P18417/1020P18417	9246
57035	7590	03/02/2009		
KACVINSKY LLC C/O INTELLEVATE P.O. BOX 52050 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			EXAMINER GEIB, BENJAMIN P	
			ART UNIT 2181	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 03/02/2009	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/816,450	Applicant(s) CHUN ET AL.	
	Examiner BENJAMIN P. GEIB	Art Unit 2181	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 November 2008.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1,2,4-11 and 17-30 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,2,4-11 and 17-30 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Terminal Disclaimer

1. The terminal disclaimer filed on 07/10/2008 disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application which would extend beyond the expiration date of any patent granted on Application Number 10/816451 has been reviewed and is accepted. The terminal disclaimer has been recorded.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1-4, 7-11, 17-20, 22-27, 29, and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Alsolaim et al., "Architecture and Application of a Dynamically Reconfigurable Hardware Array for Future Mobile Communication Systems", (Hereinafter Alsolaim) in view of Henry, U.S. Patent No. 4,791,603.

4. Referring to claim 1, Alsolaim has taught an apparatus, comprising:

a memory unit [*dual port RAMs; Fig. 3*] to store input data for a plurality of functions [*last paragraph of section 3.1 on page 209*];

a control unit [*RPU-controller; Fig. 3*] having a control unit state machine module to control execution of said plurality of functions [*1st full paragraph on page 209 and 2nd paragraph of left column on page 210*], said control unit to select a function to execute using a function identifier [*Since the RPU-controller guides all operations, it inherently must select a function (i.e. operation) using a function identifier*];

a configuration memory [*Alsolaim; Configuration Memory Unit (CMU)*] to store configuration parameters for said control unit, said configuration parameters including table content data [*Alsolaim; 1st full paragraph, left column, on page 208*]; and

Art Unit: 2181

a plurality of execution units *[RAP units; Figs. 3 & 4]* operatively responsive to said control unit, said execution units to receive input data from said memory unit, and use said input data to execute a function corresponding to said function identifier *[section 3.2]*.

Alsolaim has not explicitly taught that the control unit having a control unit state machine module is configured with a fuse map and that the configuration memory stores the fuse map.

Henry has taught configuring logic (i.e. a state machine module) using a fuse map *[Henry; column 2, lines 14-31; column 3, lines 44-66]*.

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Alsolaim so that the control unit state machine module is configured using a fuse map. Because the control unit state machine is configured using the configuration parameters included in the configuration memory and, in the modified system, the fuse map is one of the configuration parameter, it would have been obvious to store the fuse map in the configuration memory.

The motivation for doing so would have been that the hardware is made more versatile *[Henry; column 2, lines 32-43]*.

5. Referring to claim 2, Alsolaim and Henry have taught the apparatus of claim 1, wherein said execution units comprise a logic unit to perform scalar arithmetic operations, and at least one data path execution unit to perform arithmetic operations *[Alsolaim; section 3.2]*.

6. Referring to claim 4, Alsolaim and Henry have taught the apparatus of claim 1, wherein said control unit comprises: said control unit state machine module to be configured in accordance with said fuse map, said control unit state machine to output an operation number address; and a control unit lookup table to be configured with said table content data, said control unit lookup table to convert said operation number address to a reconfigurator vector, said reconfigurator vector to control execution of said function by said execution units *[section 3.1]*.

7. Referring to claim 7, Alsolaim and Henry have taught the apparatus of claim 1, further comprising a data selector to read said input data from said memory unit, and distribute said input data to said execution units *[data router; Fig. 3]*.

Art Unit: 2181

8. Referring to claim 8, Alsolaim and Henry have taught the apparatus of claim 1, further comprising a register file module to store previously read input data during function execution when multiple read cycles are needed to provide data for said function [*dual port RAMs; Fig. 3; last paragraph of section 3.1 on page 209*].

9. Referring to claim 9, Alsolaim and Henry have taught the apparatus of claim 1, further comprising a data packer to receive processed input data from said execution units, and to send said processed input data to an output buffer [*1st full paragraph on page 211*].

10. Referring to claim 10, Alsolaim and Henry have taught the apparatus of claim 1, further comprising a data router adapter to communicate packets with said routing elements, said data router adapter to distribute data from received packets to said configuration memory or said memory unit, and transmit packets of processed data from said execution units stored in an output buffer [*1st full paragraph on page 211*].

11. Referring to claim 11, Alsolaim and Henry have taught the apparatus of claim 2, wherein said logic unit comprises a data address generator to control writing said input data to said memory unit, and reading said input data from said memory unit [*section 3.2*].

12. Referring to claims 17 and 24, taking claim 24 as exemplary, Alsolaim has taught an article comprising:

a storage medium; said storage medium including stored instructions that, when executed by a processor, result in receiving configuration parameters for a control unit, said configuration parameters including table content data [*1st full paragraph, left column, on page 208*], configuring said control unit having a control unit state machine module [*RPU-controller; Fig. 3*] using said configuration parameters, receiving input data for a plurality of functions, controlling execution of said plurality of functions using control signals, and executing said plurality of functions by a plurality of execution units using said input data in accordance with said control signals [*1st full paragraph on page 209 and 2nd paragraph of left column on page 210*].

Alsolaim has not explicitly taught that the configuration information includes a fuse map and that the configuration memory stores the fuse map.

Art Unit: 2181

Henry has taught configuring logic (i.e. a state machine module) using a fuse map *[Henry; column 2, lines 14-31; column 3, lines 44-66]*.

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Alsolaim so that the configuration information includes a fuse map. Because the control unit state machine is configured using the configuration parameters included in the configuration memory and, in the modified system, the fuse map is one of the configuration parameter, it would have been obvious to store the fuse map in the configuration memory.

The motivation for doing so would have been that the hardware is made more versatile *[Henry; column 2, lines 32-43]*.

13. Referring to claims 18 and 25, taking claim 25 as exemplary, Alsolaim and Henry have taught the article of claim 24, wherein the stored instructions, when executed by a processor, further result in said plurality of functions being executed during different time periods *[section 3.2]*.

14. Referring to claims 19 and 26, taking claim 26 as exemplary, Alsolaim and Henry have taught the article of claim 24, wherein the stored instructions when executed by a processor, further result in said configuring by configuring said control unit state machine module using said fuse map, and configuring a control unit lookup table using said table content data *[1st full paragraph on page 208]*.

15. Referring to claims 20 and 27, taking claim 27 as exemplary, Alsolaim and Henry have taught the article of claim 24, wherein the stored instructions when executed by a processor, further result in said controlling by reading a function identifier from a function list, generating a reconfigurator vector using said function identifier, sending a data select signal to a data selector to read input data from an input buffer in accordance with said reconfigurator vector, and sending function control signals to said execution units to process said input data in accordance with said reconfigurator vector *[section 3.1]*.

16. Referring to claims 22 and 29, taking claim 29 as exemplary, Alsolaim and Henry have taught the article of claim 24, wherein the stored instructions, when executed by a processor, further result in said executing by receiving said input data at said execution units, receiving function control signals from said control unit, and processing said received input data in accordance with said function control signals *[section 3.2]*.

Art Unit: 2181

17. Referring to claims 23 and 30, taking claim 30 as exemplary, Alsolaim and Henry have taught the article of claim 24, wherein the stored instructions, when executed by a processor, further result in said receiving by receiving a function identifier and an input identifier for each function, creating an input buffer corresponding to each input identifier, and writing input data for each function in said corresponding input buffer [section 3.2].

18. Claims 5, 6, 21, and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Alsolaim in view of Henry, and further in view of Fette et al., U.S. Patent No. 4,862,407 (Hereinafter Fette).

19. Referring to claim 5, Alsolaim and Henry have taught the apparatus of claim 4.

Alsolaim and Henry have not explicitly taught wherein said control unit further comprises: an inner loop counter to count a number of repetitions of instructions in an inner loop, said inner loop counter to output an inner terminal count signal; an outer loop counter to count a number of repetitions of instructions in an outer loop, said outer loop counter to output an outer terminal count signal; and a register file module to store a state for one function while another function is being executed by said execution units.

Fette has taught a control unit comprising: an inner loop counter to count a number of repetitions of instructions in an inner loop, said inner loop counter to output an inner terminal count signal [Fette; column 7, lines 31-38]; an outer loop counter to count a number of repetitions of instructions in an outer loop, said outer loop counter to output an outer terminal count signal [Fette; column 7, lines 31-38]; and a register file module to store a state for one function while another function is being executed by said execution units [Fette; function register (component 80); column 8, lines 8-13].

At the time the invention was made it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Alsolaim and Henry so that the control unit further comprises: an inner loop counter to count a number of repetitions of instructions in an inner loop, said inner loop counter to output an inner terminal count signal; an outer loop counter to count a number of repetitions of instructions in an outer loop, said

Art Unit: 2181

outer loop counter to output an outer terminal count signal; and a register file module to store a state for one function while another function is being executed by said execution units as taught by Fette.

The motivation for doing so would have been to assist in the execution in the execution of loops [Fette; column 7, lines 31-38].

20. Referring to claim 6, Alsolaim and Henry have taught the apparatus of claim 5.

Alsolaim and Henry have not explicitly taught wherein said control unit state machine module receives as inputs said inner terminal count signal, said outer terminal count signal, said function identifier, a current state index value, and status register values from said execution units, and uses said inputs to generate said operation number address.

Fette has taught a control unit that receives as inputs said inner terminal count signal, said outer terminal count signal, said function identifier, a current state index value, and status register values from said execution units, and uses said inputs to generate said operation number address [Fette; column 8, lines 8-29].

At the time the invention was made it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Alsolaim and Henry so that the control unit state machine module receives as inputs said inner terminal count signal, said outer terminal count signal, said function identifier, a current state index value, and status register values from said execution units, and uses said inputs to generate said operation number address as taught by Fette.

The motivation for doing so would have been to assist in the execution in the execution of loops [Fette; column 7, lines 31-38].

21. Referring to claims 21 and 28, taking claim 28 as exemplary, Alsolaim and Henry have taught the article of claim 27.

Alsolaim and Henry have not explicitly taught wherein the stored instructions, when executed by a processor, further result in said generating by receiving as inputs an inner terminal count signal, an outer terminal count signal, said function identifier, a current state index value, and status register values from said execution units, at said control unit state machine, generating an operation number address using

Art Unit: 2181

said inputs, converting said operation number address to a reconfigurator vector, said reconfigurator vector to control execution of said function by said execution units.

Fette has taught stored instructions, when executed by a processor, further result in said generating by receiving as inputs an inner terminal count signal, an outer terminal count signal, said function identifier, a current state index value, and status register values from said execution units, at said control unit state machine, generating an operation number address using said inputs, converting said operation number address to a reconfigurator vector, said reconfigurator vector to control execution of said function by said execution units [*Fette; column 7, lines 31-38*].

At the time the invention was made it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Alsolaim and Henry so that the stored instructions, when executed by a processor, further result in said generating by receiving as inputs an inner terminal count signal, an outer terminal count signal, said function identifier, a current state index value, and status register values from said execution units, at said control unit state machine, generating an operation number address using said inputs, converting said operation number address to a reconfigurator vector, said reconfigurator vector to control execution of said function by said execution units as taught by Fette.

The motivation for doing so would have been to assist in the execution in the execution of loops [*Fette; column 7, lines 31-38*].

Response to Arguments

22. Applicant's arguments filed 11/14/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

23. Applicant argues that "the Configuration Memory Unit of Alsolaim fails to teach or suggest . . . 'a configuration memory to store configuration parameters for said control unit, said configuration parameters including said fuse map and table content data.'" Alsolaim has taught a "CMU (Configuration Memory Unit) [that] holds configuration data for performing fast dynamic reconfiguration of each of these four RPUs (Reconfigurable Processing Unit)." See Alsolaim 1st full paragraph, left column, page 208. Applicant states that the Configuration Memory Unit, while arguably storing configuration data for the reconfiguration of Reconfigurable Processing Units, "is clearly different than the claimed subject matter

Art Unit: 2181

which recites 'a configuration memory to store configuration parameters for a control unit.'" Because Alsolaim has explicitly taught, as appears to be acknowledged by applicant, "a configuration memory [i.e. Configuration Memory Unit] to store configuration parameters [configuration data]," it appears that the applicant does not believe that the configuration parameters stored by the CMU are for a control unit. However, as indicated above, the configuration data is "for . . . reconfiguration of . . . RPUs (Reconfigurable Processing Units)." These RPUs comprise, inter alia, RPU-controllers, which - as the name indicates - controls the corresponding RPU. See Alsolaim, 1st paragraph of section 3.1, page 208. Therefore, Alsolaim has taught "a configuration memory [i.e. CMU] to store configuration parameters [i.e. configuration data] for said control unit [i.e. RPU-controller]" as claimed.

24. Applicant argues against the examiner's statement that "since the RPU-controller guides all operations, it inherently must select a function (i.e. operation) using a function identifier," stating that "the RPU-controller within each Reconfigurable Processing Unit may be designed to operate without functions or function identifiers." The examiner disagrees with this statement. It appears that the applicant is reading the terms "functions" and "function identifiers" too narrowly. An RPU-controller "is responsible for guiding all data manipulations and transfers inside the RPU." See Alsolaim, 1st full paragraph, left column, page 209. Because it would be impossible to guide data manipulations without somehow selecting and identifying the data manipulation to be performed, a RPU-controller necessarily selects data manipulations (i.e. functions) to be performed and identifies these data manipulations in some manner. Therefore, Alsolaim has taught a "control unit [i.e. RPU-controller] to select a function to execute using a function identifier" as claimed. If the applicant intends for the claimed "function" and "function identifiers" to be of a more specific type, then the claim language should be amended to indicate the specific types.

Conclusion

25. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date

Art Unit: 2181

of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to BENJAMIN P. GEIB whose telephone number is (571)272-8628. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alford Kindred can be reached on (571) 272-4037. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Alford W. Kindred/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2181

Benjamin P Geib
Examiner
Art Unit 2181

/Benjamin P Geib/
Examiner, Art Unit 2181